

SIXTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE REPUBLIC **OF THE PHILIPPINES** Second Regular Session

JIN -4 P2:49

RECEIVED BY:_

S. No.

)

Introduced by Senator Miriam Defensor Santiago

AN ACT FURTHER AMENDING SECTION 391 OF PRESIDENTIAL DECREE NO. 612, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS THE "INSURANCE CODE", AS AMENDED BY **REPUBLIC ACT NO. 10607**

EXPLANATORY NOTE

The current version of our Insurance Code, Chapter 6, provides for Compulsory Motor Vehicle Liability Insurance.¹ What this chapter provides are rules to the effect that it is unlawful to operate any motor vehicle in a public highway unless there is a policy of insurance in force to indemnify the death, bodily injury, and/or damage to property of a third-party or passenger, arising from the use of the vehicle.

This chapter contains a provision known as the "no-fault clause"² which provides, among other things, that in the event of death or injury, arising from a motor vehicle mishap, insurance companies are mandated by law to pay for the damage even if there was no finding of fault or negligence on either side. The provision reads:

SEC. 391. Any claim for death or injury to any passenger or thirdparty pursuant to the provisions of this chapter shall be paid without the necessity of proving fault or negligence of any kind: Provided, That for purposes of this section:

(a) The total indemnity in respect of any person shall not be less than Fifteen thousand pesos ($\mathbb{P}15,000.00$);

 ¹ Republic Act No. 10607, Chapter 6, "Compulsory Motor Vehicle Liability Insurance".
 ² Republic Act No. 10607, Chapter 6, Art. 391.

(b) The following proofs of loss, when submitted under oath, shall be sufficient evidence to substantiate the claim:

(1) Police report of accident; and

(2) Death certificate and evidence sufficient to establish the proper payee; or

(3) Medical report and evidence of medical or hospital disbursement in respect of which refund is claimed;

The purpose of this provision is to provide a mechanism for immediate relief to victims of motor vehicle mishaps, especially where it cannot be established that either party was at fault.

The problem with this provision lies in subparagraph (a). This provision effectively creates a minimum amount of $\mathbb{P}15,000$ to be paid by insurance companies, even if there was no finding of fault or negligence. While $\mathbb{P}15,000$ is a reasonable amount, the words 'not less than' preceding it means that in theory, an insurance company may be made to pay $\mathbb{P}150,000$, or even $\mathbb{P}15,000,000$, even if there was no finding of fault or negligence. Under the law, a passenger who sustained a minor cut in a minor vehicle mishap could demand at least $\mathbb{P}15,000$, without limit, from his insurance company. What makes matters worse is that under subparagraph (b), there is a minimal amount of evidence required to substantiate a claim that under the law, has no monetary ceiling.

This Bill seeks to rectify this situation.

MIRIAM DEFENSOR SANTIAGO

SIXTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE REPUBLIC) OF THE PHILIPPINES) Second Regular Session) SENATE S. No. 2800 (New EIVED BY: 4)

CS.

Introduced by Senator Miriam Defensor Santiago

Ā

Be it enacted by the Senate and the House of Representatives of the Philippines in Congress assembled:

1 2 3 4	AN ACT FURTHER AMENDING SECTION 391 OF PRESIDENTIAL DECREE NO. 612, OTHERWISE KNOWN AS THE "INSURANCE CODE", AS AMENDED BY REPUBLIC ACT NO. 10607
5	SECTION 1. Section 391 of Presidential Decree No. 612, otherwise known as the
6	"Insurance Code", as amended by Republic Act No. 10607, is hereby amended to read as
7	follows:
8	SEC. 391. Any claim for death or injury to any passenger or third-
9	party pursuant to the provisions of this chapter shall be paid without the
10	necessity of proving fault or negligence of any kind: Provided, That for
11	purposes of this section:
12	(a) The total indemnity in respect of any person SHALL
13	NOT BE MORE [less] THAN Fifteen thousand pesos
14	(₱15,000.00);
15	(b) The following proofs of loss, when submitted under
16	oath, shall be sufficient evidence to substantiate the claim:
17	(1) Police report of accident; and
18	(2) Death certificate and evidence sufficient to
19	establish the proper payee; or

1

(3) Medical report and evidence of medical or
 hospital disbursement in respect of which refund is
 claimed;

4 (c) Claim may be made against one motor vehicle only. In 5 the case of an occupant of a vehicle, claim, shall lie against the 6 insurer of the vehicle in which the occupant is riding, mounting 7 or dismounting from. In any other case, claim shall lie against the 8 insurer of the directly offending vehicle. In all cases, the right of 9 the party paying the claim to recover against the owner of the 10 vehicle responsible for the accident shall be maintained.

11 SECTION 2. *Repealing Clause.* – Any law, presidential decree or issuance, 12 executive order, letter of instruction, administrative order, rule or regulation contrary to 13 or is inconsistent with the provision of this Act is hereby repealed, modified, or amended 14 accordingly.

SECTION 3. *Effectivity Clause*. – This Act shall take effect fifteen (15) days after
its publication in at least two (2) newspapers of general circulation.

Approved,

/aml 19May2015

) ș