

FOURTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE)
REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES)
First Regular Session)

7 JUN 30 P1 50

SENATE

RECEIVED BY: 

S. No. 101

INTRODUCED BY THE HONORABLE MAR ROXAS

EXPLANATORY NOTE

This bill seeks to amend Republic Act No. 8293, otherwise known as the Intellectual Property Code of the Philippines, to protect public health by creating an environment that will lower the prices of medicines through increased competition among drug companies and by providing the government with better policy tools to significantly influence the supply and demand of medicines.

In its report, the 13th Congress' Senate joint committees on trade and commerce and health and demography had noted Filipinos' insufficient annual per capita health spending, of P1,979 as of 2004. A major part of this problem is the lack of access to affordable drugs and medicines.

It was also found that the present intellectual property system has been used to great advantage by pharmaceutical industries in maximizing their profits, with 60% of the market dominated by multinationals. Such dominance however is due to present laws that prevent a level playing field and that largely protect multinationals, who are holding practically all patents on pharmaceutical products as of 2005.

As a general rule for developing countries, the fewer patents granted on medicines, the better, so that large businesses' control over the industry is limited and generic versions can be introduced without delay.

To further illustrate the lack of competitive prices in the country, we can compare local prices of branded medicines to their counterparts from abroad, specifically, from India and Pakistan.

Norvasc, priced at P44.75 in the Philippines, sells for the equivalent of P5.00 in India. Bactrim 400, priced at P17.75 per tablet in the Philippines, sells for the equivalent of P1 in Pakistan, and P0.69 in India.

The flaws of the present Intellectual Property Code of the Philippines have resulted in a significant imbalance between supply and demand of drugs and medicines. More specifically, the market dominance of multinationals has caused artificial barriers to the fair trade of drugs and medicines which has led to high prices and lack of access to drugs and medicines to the detriment of millions of Filipinos.

This bill is in line with the Agreement on Trade-Related Aspects of Intellectual Property Rights (TRIPS) and the *Doha Declaration on the TRIPS Agreement and Public Health* of the World Trade Organization. It provides the flexibilities allowed to developing countries under the two accords.

The proposed amendments to the Intellectual Property Code will allow generic drug manufacturers to begin experimentation, production and registration on patented drugs or medicines even prior to the expiration of the patents. As per industry estimates and experience, under the present law, generic drug makers take roughly three years after a patent expires in order to study the patented drug. This results in a virtual patent extension of three years, a period wherein patent holders can continue to charge high prices for their medicines.

Through the *early working doctrine*, generics companies will be able to make available their products immediately after expiration of the drug patent, thus preventing a continued *monopoly on prices* by the patent holder. This doctrine has already led to a significant reduction of prices in the USA, Japan, Canada, Israel and Thailand.

Furthermore, the proposed measure will bar patent owners from extending patents on the basis of a “new use” for existing substances. The practice of extending patents on such grounds has allowed patent owners to continue to hold on the patent, even when the new use does not result in a new product or could even be deemed an “obvious” use.

The bill will also provide a legal mechanism for the importation of drugs whose patents have not expired locally. Parallel importation, as it is called, is already in practice among European Union nations, as well as in Japan, Argentina, Cambodia, Thailand and Vietnam. The changes to the law will also provide for the use of local trademarks of patented drugs in an importation scheme.

Lastly, the bill grants the government discretion in use of patents when public health is at stake, and provides a framework for ample compensation to the patent holder.

The bill has been discussed extensively in the 13th Congress, but was not passed, to the dismay of stakeholders and the general public in need of more affordable medicines. It is high time that Congress enacts this bill into law during the 14th Congress.

In view of the foregoing, approval of this bill is earnestly requested.


M A R ROXAS
Senator

FOURTEENTH CONGRESS OF THE)
REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES)
First Regular Session)

7 JUN 30 P1:50

RECEIVED BY: _____

SENATE
S. No. 101

INTRODUCED BY THE HONORABLE MAR ROXAS

AN ACT

TO MAKE THE LAWS ON PATENTS, TRADENAMES AND TRADEMARKS MORE RESPONSIVE TO THE HEALTH CARE NEEDS OF THE FILIPINO PEOPLE BY CLARIFYING NON-PATENTABLE INVENTIONS, ALLOWING THE IMPORTATION AND EARLY DEVELOPMENT OF PATENTED MEDICINES, AND MODIFYING GOVERNMENT USE PROVISIONS FOR DRUGS OR MEDICINES, TO LOWER PRICES AND INCREASE ACCESS TO AND SUPPLY OF QUALITY DRUGS OR MEDICINES, AMENDING FOR THIS PURPOSE CERTAIN PROVISIONS OF REPUBLIC ACT NO. 8293 OTHERWISE KNOWN AS THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CODE OF THE PHILIPPINES.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives of the Philippines in Congress assembled:

1 SECTION 1. Section 26 of Republic Act No. 8293 is hereby amended to read as follows:

2 "SEC. 26. *Inventive Step.* – 26.1. An invention involves an inventive
3 step if, having regard to prior art, it is not obvious to a person skilled in the
4 art at the time of the filing date or priority date of the application claiming
5 the invention.

6 "26.2. THERE IS NO INVENTIVE STEP IF THE INVENTION
7 RESULTS FROM THE MERE DISCOVERY OF A NEW FORM OR NEW
8 PROPERTY OF A KNOWN SUBSTANCE WHICH DOES NOT RESULT
9 IN THE ENHANCEMENT OF THE KNOWN EFFICACY OF THAT
10 SUBSTANCE, OR, THE MERE DISCOVERY OF ANY NEW USE FOR A
11 KNOWN SUBSTANCE OR A KNOWN PROCESS UNLESS SUCH

1 KNOWN PROCESS RESULTS IN A NEW PRODUCT THAT EMPLOYS
2 AT LEAST ONE NEW REACTANT.”

3 SEC. 2. Section 72 of Republic Act No. 8293 is hereby amended to read as follows:

4 “SEC. 72. *Limitations of Patent Rights.* – The owner of a patent has no
5 right to prevent third parties from performing, without his authorization,
6 the acts referred to in Section 71 hereof in the following circumstances:

7 “72.1. Using a patented product which has been put on the market in
8 the Philippines by the owner of the product, or with his express consent,
9 insofar as such use is performed after that product has been so put on the
10 said market: *PROVIDED, THAT, WITH REGARD TO DRUGS OR*
11 *MEDICINES, THE LIMITATION ON PATENT RIGHTS TO THE USE,*
12 *SALE, OFFERING FOR SALE OR IMPORTATION OF THE PRODUCT*
13 *SHALL APPLY AFTER A DRUG OR MEDICINE HAS BEEN*
14 *INTRODUCED ANYWHERE IN THE WORLD BY THE PATENT*
15 *OWNER, OR BY ANY PARTY AUTHORIZED TO USE THE*
16 *INVENTION.*

17 “72.2. Where the act is done privately and on a non-commercial scale
18 or for a non-commercial purpose: *Provided, That it does not significantly*
19 *prejudice the economic interests of the owner of the patent;*

20 “72.3. Where the act consists of making or using exclusively for [the
21 purpose of experiments that relate to the subject matter of the patented
22 invention;] *EXPERIMENTAL USE OF THE INVENTION FOR*
23 *SCIENTIFIC PURPOSES OR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES AND SUCH*

1 OTHER ACTIVITIES DIRECTLY RELATED TO SUCH SCIENTIFIC OR
2 EDUCATIONAL EXPERIMENTAL USE.

3 “72.4. WHERE THE ACT INCLUDES TESTING, USING, MAKING OR
4 SELLING THE INVENTION INCLUDING ANY DATA RELATED
5 THERETO, SOLELY FOR PURPOSES REASONABLY RELATED TO THE
6 DEVELOPMENT AND SUBMISSION OF INFORMATION AND
7 ISSUANCE OF APPROVALS BY GOVERNMENT REGULATORY
8 AGENCIES REQUIRED UNDER ANY LAW OF THE PHILIPPINES
9 THAT REGULATES THE MANUFACTURE, CONSTRUCTION, USE OR
10 SALE OF ANY PRODUCT: *PROVIDED*, THAT IN ORDER TO PROTECT
11 THE DATA SUBMITTED BY THE ORIGINAL PATENT HOLDER FROM
12 UNFAIR COMMERCIAL USE PROVIDED IN ARTICLE 39.3 OF THE
13 TRIPS AGREEMENT, THE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OFFICE (IPO),
14 IN CONSULTATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENT
15 AGENCIES, SHALL ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE RULES AND
16 REGULATIONS NECESSARY THEREIN NOT LATER THAN ONE
17 HUNDRED TWENTY (120) DAYS AFTER ENACTMENT OF THIS LAW.

18 “[72.4] 72.5. Where the act consists of the preparation for individual
19 cases, in a pharmacy or by a medical professional, of a medicine in
20 accordance with a medical prescription or acts concerning the medicine so
21 prepared;

22 “[72.5] 72.6. *Where the invention is used in any ship, vessel, aircraft,*
23 *or land vehicle of any other country entering the territory of the*
24 *Philippines temporarily or accidentally: Provided, That such invention is*
25 *used exclusively for the needs of the ship, vessel, aircraft, or land vehicle*

1 and not used for the manufacturing of anything to be sold within the
2 Philippines. (Secs. 38 and 39, R.A. No. 165a)”

3 SEC. 3. Section 74 of Republic Act No. 8293 is hereby amended to read as follows:

4 “SEC. 74. *Use of Invention by Government.* – 74.1. A Government
5 agency or third person authorized by the Government may exploit the
6 invention even without agreement of the patent owner where:

7 (a) The public interest, in particular, national security, nutrition,
8 health or the development of other sectors, as determined by
9 the appropriate agency of the government, so requires; or

10 (b) A judicial or administrative body has determined that the
11 manner of exploitation, by the owner of the patent or his
12 license, is anti-competitive; OR

13 (C) THERE IS PUBLIC NON-COMMERCIAL USE OF THE
14 PATENT BY THE PATENTEE, WITHOUT SATISFACTORY
15 REASON.

16 “74.2. UNLESS OTHERWISE PROVIDED HEREIN, [T]he use by the
17 Government, or third person authorized by the Government shall be
18 subject, [*mutatis mutandis*, to the conditions set forth in Sections 95 to 97
19 and 100 to 102. (Sec. 41, R.A. No. 165a)] TO THE FOLLOWING
20 PROVISIONS:

21 (A) IN SITUATIONS OF NATIONAL EMERGENCY OR
22 OTHER CIRCUMSTANCES OF EXTREME URGENCY, THE
23 RIGHT HOLDER SHALL BE NOTIFIED AS SOON AS
24 REASONABLY PRACTICABLE;

1 (B) IN THE CASE OF PUBLIC NON-COMMERCIAL USE,
2 WHERE THE GOVERNMENT OR CONTRACTOR,
3 WITHOUT MAKING A PATENT SEARCH, KNOWS OR
4 HAS DEMONSTRABLE GROUNDS TO KNOW THAT A
5 VALID PATENT IS OR WILL BE USED BY OR FOR THE
6 GOVERNMENT, THE RIGHT HOLDER SHALL BE
7 INFORMED PROMPTLY;

8 (C) THE SCOPE AND DURATION OF SUCH USE SHALL BE
9 LIMITED TO THE PURPOSE FOR WHICH IT WAS
10 AUTHORIZED, AND IN THE CASE OF SEMI-CONDUCTOR
11 TECHNOLOGY, SHALL ONLY BE FOR PUBLIC NON-
12 COMMERCIAL USE OR TO REMEDY A PRACTICE
13 DETERMINED AFTER JUDICIAL OR ADMINISTRATIVE
14 PROCESS TO BE ANTI-COMPETITIVE;

15 (D) SUCH USE SHALL BE NON-EXCLUSIVE;

16 (E) THE RIGHT HOLDER SHALL BE PAID ADEQUATE
17 REMUNERATION IN THE CIRCUMSTANCES OF EACH
18 CASE, TAKING INTO ACCOUNT THE ECONOMIC VALUE
19 OF THE AUTHORIZATION;

20 (F) THE LEGAL VALIDITY OF ANY DECISION RELATING
21 TO THE AUTHORIZATION OF SUCH USE SHALL BE
22 SUBJECT TO JUDICIAL REVIEW; AND

23 (G) THE USE OR OTHER EXPLOITATION BY THE
24 GOVERNMENT OR THIRD PERSON AUTHORIZED BY
25 THE GOVERNMENT OF DRUGS OR MEDICINES UNDER

1 THIS SECTION SHALL BE SUBJECT TO THE EXCLUSIVE
2 DETERMINATION OF THE PRESIDENT OF THE
3 REPUBLIC OF THE PHILIPPINES AND SHALL BE
4 IMMEDIATELY EXECUTORY: *PROVIDED*, THAT NO
5 COURT, EXCEPT THE SUPREME COURT OF THE
6 PHILIPPINES, SHALL ISSUE ANY TEMPORARY
7 RESTRAINING ORDER OR PRELIMINARY INJUNCTION
8 OR PRELIMINARY MANDATORY INJUNCTION THAT
9 WILL PREVENT ITS IMMEDIATE EXECUTION. THE
10 OFFICE OF THE PRESIDENT, IN CONSULTATION WITH
11 THE APPROPRIATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES, SHALL
12 ISSUE THE APPROPRIATE IMPLEMENTING RULES AND
13 REGULATIONS FOR THE EXERCISE OF THIS AUTHORITY
14 WITHIN ONE HUNDRED TWENTY (120) DAYS AFTER
15 ENACTMENT OF THIS LAW. ALL CASES ARISING FROM
16 THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THIS PROVISION SHALL BE
17 COGNIZABLE BY COURTS WITH APPROPRIATE
18 JURISDICTION PROVIDED BY LAW.”

19 SEC. 4. Section 159 of Republic Act No. 8293 is hereby amended to read as follows:

20 “x x x

21 “x x x

22 “x x x

23 “x x x

1 “159.4 THERE SHALL BE NO INFRINGEMENT OF TRADEMARKS
2 OR TRADENAMES OF IMPORTED OR SOLD DRUGS OR MEDICINES
3 ALLOWED UNDER SECTION 72.1: PROVIDED, THAT SAID DRUGS OR
4 MEDICINES BEAR THE REGISTERED MARKS THAT HAVE NOT BEEN
5 TAMPERED, MODIFIED, OR INFRINGED UPON AS DEFINED UNDER
6 SECTION 155 OF THIS CODE.”

7 *SEC. 5. Implementing Rules and Regulations.* – Unless otherwise provided herein, the
8 Intellectual Property Office shall issue the necessary implementing rules and regulations
9 within one hundred twenty (120) days after enactment of this law.

10 *SEC. 6. Separability Clause.* – Any portion or provisions of this Act that may be
11 declared unconstitutional or invalid shall not have the effect of nullifying other portions and
12 provisions hereof as long as such remaining portion or provision can still subsist and be given
13 effect in their entirety.

14 *SEC. 7. Repealing Clause.* – All laws, decrees, executive orders, proclamations and
15 administrative regulations, or parts thereof inconsistent herewith are hereby repealed or
16 modified accordingly.

17 *SEC. 8. Effectivity Clause.* – This Act shall take effect fifteen (15) days after its
18 publication in at least two national papers of general circulation.

Approved,